2018 College and Career Ready Performance Index (CCRPI)

## Overview

DISTRICT INFORMATION

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { NUMBER OF STUDENTS ENROLLED } & 1,861 \\
\text { TITLE I SCHOOLS } & 66.7 \%
\end{array}
$$

| DISTRICT DEMOGRAPHICS | AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN NATIVE | $0.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER | $1.1 \%$ |
| BLACK | $34.4 \%$ |  |
| HISPANIC | $6.5 \%$ |  |
|  | MULTI-RACIAL | $1.9 \%$ |
|  | WHITE | $55.7 \%$ |
|  | ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | ENGLISH LEARNERS | $1.5 \%$ |
|  | STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY | $13.8 \%$ |

HOW DID THE DISTRICT PERFORM? 73.0


Content Mastery
English Language Arts
Mathematics
Science
Social Studies


## Closing Gaps

Improvement Target Performance
66.10

## 55.8

57.55
51.39
54.55
59.64
66.1


Progress

| English Language Arts | 80.93 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mathematics | 86.61 |
| Progress Towards English Language | Too Few |
| Proficiency | Students |

Progress Towards English Language

## 91.7

90.89

4-Year Graduation Rate
93.43
71.9

Readiness
Literacy 54.46
Student Attendance 82.44
Accelerated Enrollment 76.63
Pathway Completion 82.08
College And Career Readiness 63.99

76.633.99

Graduation Rate

5-Year Graduation Rate


Financial Efficiency

| PPE Percentile | 45th |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3-Year Average Per Pupil <br> Expenditure | $\$ 8,886.97$ |

Content Mastery addresses whether students are achieving at the level necessary to be prepared for the next grade, college, or career. This component includes achievement scores in English language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies.

HOW DID THE DISTRICT
PERFORM ON CONTENT MASTERY?
HOW DID THE DISTRICT
PERFORM COMPARED TO
THE STATE?
HOW DID THE DISTRICT
PERFORM ON EACH
CONTENT AREA?

DISTRICT SCORE 55.8
state score
66.9

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS
98.22\% Participation Rate
57.55

- View english language arts data

9th Grade Literature 58.76
American Literature 56.34

## MATHEMATICS

99.09\% Participation Rate
51.39
(-) View MATHEMATICS data
Algebra I / Coordinate Algebra 43.75
Geometry / Analytic Geometry 59.35

## SCIENCE

97.93\% Participation Rate
54.55

- View SCIENCE data
Physical Science 47.48
Biology $\quad \mathbf{6 0 . 0 0}$
SOCIAL STUDIES $\quad 59.64$
- View social studies data
U.S. History 54.64

Economics 65.94

## HOW DID STUDENT GROUPS IN THE DISTRICT PERFORM?

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

|  | Beginning Learner | Developing Learner | Proficient Learner | Distinguished Learner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS <br> 98.22\% Participation Rate | 25.48\% | 37.64\% | 33.16\% | 3.71\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN NATIVE <br> Too Few Students Participation Rate | Too Few Students | Too Few Students | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER <br> 93.75\% Participation Rate | 6.67\% | 33.33\% | 60.00\% | 0.00\% |
| BLACK <br> 99.33\% Participation Rate | 37.13\% | 40.81\% | 21.32\% | 0.74\% |
| HISPANIC <br> 100.00\% Participation Rate | 28.85\% | 48.08\% | 23.08\% | 0.00\% |
| MULTI-RACIAL <br> 100.00\% Participation Rate | 22.22\% | 50.00\% | 22.22\% | 5.56\% |
| WHITE <br> 97.35\% Participation Rate | 18.05\% | 34.20\% | 41.81\% | 5.94\% |
| ECONOMICALLY <br> DISADVANTAGED <br> 98.22\% Participation Rate | 25.48\% | 37.64\% | 33.16\% | 3.71\% |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS <br> Too Few Students Participation Rate | Too Few Students | Too Few Students | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY <br> 99.01\% Participation Rate | 63.92\% | 21.65\% | 13.40\% | 1.03\% |

SCORES, TARGETS, AND FLAGS - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

|  | SCORE | TARGET | FLAG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS <br> 98.22\% Participation Rate | 57.55 | 57.25 | - |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN NATIVE <br> Too Few Students Participation Rate | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |  |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER <br> 93.75\% Participation Rate | 75.66 | Too Few Students |  |
| BLACK <br> 99.33\% Participation Rate | 42.84 | 40.60 | < |
| HISPANIC <br> 100.00\% Participation Rate | 47.12 | 44.77 | K |
| MULTI-RACIAL <br> 100.00\% Participation Rate | 55.56 | 64.97 | < |
| WHITE <br> 97.35\% Participation Rate | 67.82 | 67.70 | K |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED <br> 98.22\% Participation Rate | 57.55 | 57.25 | K |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS <br> Too Few Students Participation Rate | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |  |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY <br> 99.01\% Participation Rate | 25.78 | 33.48 | $\zeta$ |


|  | Beginning <br> Learner | Developing <br> Learner | Proficient <br> Learner | Distinguished <br> Learner |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ALL STUDENTS <br> $99.09 \% ~ P a r t i c i p a t i o n ~ R a t e ~$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 1 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 1 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 5 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1 6 \%}$ |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN <br> NATIVE <br> Too Few Students Participation Rate | Too Few <br> Students | Too Few <br> Students | Too Few <br> Students | Too Few <br> Students |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER <br> Too Few Students Participation Rate | Too Few <br> Students | Too Few <br> Students | Too Few <br> Students | Too Few |
| BLACK <br> 99.26\% Participation Rate | $\mathbf{4 2 . 5 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 9 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 3 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 8 \%}$ |
| HISPANIC <br> 100.00\% Participation Rate | $\mathbf{2 7 . 6 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 0 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 1 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 \%}$ |
| MULTI-RACIAL <br> 100.00\% Participation Rate | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 3 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 8 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| WHITE <br> $99.05 \% ~ P a r t i c i p a t i o n ~ R a t e ~$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 4 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 7 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 6 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 1 4 \%}$ |
| ECONOMICALLY <br> DISADVANTAGED <br> 99.09\% Participation Rate | $\mathbf{2 9 . 1 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 1 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 5 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1 6 \%}$ |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS <br> Too Few Students Participation Rate | Too Few | Too Few | Too Few | Students |

## SCORES, TARGETS, AND FLAGS - MATHEMATICS

|  | SCORE | TARGET | FLAG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS <br> 99.09\% Participation Rate | 51.39 | 49.88 | $\zeta$ |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN NATIVE <br> Too Few Students Participation Rate | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |  |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER <br> Too Few Students Participation Rate | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |  |
| BLACK <br> 99.26\% Participation Rate | 36.62 | 35.98 | $\zeta$ |
| HISPANIC <br> 100.00\% Participation Rate | 47.88 | 46.77 | K |
| MULTI-RACIAL <br> 100.00\% Participation Rate | 60.53 | 35.33 | - |
| WHITE <br> 99.05\% Participation Rate | 60.24 | 58.34 | $\Sigma$ |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED <br> 99.09\% Participation Rate | 51.39 | 49.88 | $\zeta$ |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS <br> Too Few Students Participation Rate | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |  |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY <br> 100.00\% Participation Rate | 30.02 | 43.54 | K |


|  | Beginning <br> Learner | Developing <br> Learner | Proficient <br> Learner | Distinguished <br> Learner |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ALL STUDENTS <br> 97.93\% Participation Rate | $\mathbf{3 1 . 5 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 9 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 3 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 1 3 \%}$ |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN <br> NATIVE <br> Too Few Students Participation Rate | Too Few <br> Students | Too Few <br> Students | Too Few <br> Students | Too Few <br> Students |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER <br> Too Few Students Participation Rate | Too Few <br> Students | Too Few <br> Students | Too Few <br> Students | Too Few |
| BLACK <br> 98.81\% Participation Rate | $\mathbf{4 5 . 6 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 3 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 3 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6 7 \%}$ |
| HISPANIC <br> 98.00\% Participation Rate | $\mathbf{2 9 . 1 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 1 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1 7 \%}$ |
| MULTI-RACIAL <br> 100.00\% Participation Rate | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 8 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 3 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| WHITE <br> 97.68\% Participation Rate | $\mathbf{2 3 . 3 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 7 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 1 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 6 9 \%}$ |
| ECONOMICALLY <br> DISADVANTAGED <br> 97.93\% Participation Rate | $\mathbf{3 1 . 5 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 9 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 3 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 1 3 \%}$ |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS <br> Too Few Students Participation Rate | Too Few | Too Few | Too Few | Students |

## SCORES, TARGETS, AND FLAGS - SCIENCE

|  | SCORE | TARGET | FLAG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS <br> 97.93\% Participation Rate | 54.55 | 52.48 | $\zeta$ |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN NATIVE <br> Too Few Students Participation Rate | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |  |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER <br> Too Few Students Participation Rate | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |  |
| BLACK <br> 98.81\% Participation Rate | 39.54 | 32.41 | $\zeta$ |
| HISPANIC <br> 98.00\% Participation Rate | 58.35 | 41.39 | < |
| MULTI-RACIAL <br> 100.00\% Participation Rate | 55.27 | 53.93 | $\Sigma$ |
| WHITE <br> 97.68\% Participation Rate | 63.60 | 66.21 | $\Sigma$ |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED <br> 97.93\% Participation Rate | 54.55 | 52.48 | + |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS <br> Too Few Students Participation Rate | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |  |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY <br> 95.00\% Participation Rate | 30.99 | 32.85 | < |


|  | Beginning <br> Learner | Developing <br> Learner | Proficient <br> Learner | Distinguished <br> Learner |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ALL STUDENTS <br> 98.89\% Participation Rate | $\mathbf{2 9 . 7 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 2 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 0 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN <br> NATIVE <br> Too Few Students Participation Rate | Too Few <br> Students | Too Few <br> Students | Too Few <br> Students | Too Few <br> Students |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER <br> Too Few Students Participation Rate | Too Few <br> Students | Too Few <br> Students | Too Few <br> Students | Too Few |
| BLACK <br> 99.29\% Participation Rate | $\mathbf{5 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 7 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 1 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 \%}$ |
| HISPANIC <br> 100.00\% Participation Rate | $\mathbf{3 8 . 1 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 0 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 2 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 4 5 \%}$ |
| MULTI-RACIAL <br> 100.00\% Participation Rate | $\mathbf{3 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| WHITE <br> 98.43\% Participation Rate | $\mathbf{1 6 . 5 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 8 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 4 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 4 \%}$ |
| ECONOMICALLY <br> DISADVANTAGED <br> 98.89\% Participation Rate | $\mathbf{2 9 . 7 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 2 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 0 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS <br> Too Few Students Participation Rate | Too Few | Too Few | Too Few | Students |

SCORES, TARGETS, AND FLAGS - SOCIAL STUDIES

|  | SCORE | TARGET | FLAG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS <br> 98.89\% Participation Rate | 59.64 | 63.05 | $\zeta$ |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN NATIVE <br> Too Few Students Participation Rate | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |  |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER <br> Too Few Students Participation Rate | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |  |
| BLACK <br> 99.29\% Participation Rate | 39.15 | 40.74 | $\zeta$ |
| HISPANIC <br> 100.00\% Participation Rate | 50.00 | 43.01 | - |
| MULTI-RACIAL <br> 100.00\% Participation Rate | 60.00 | 74.32 | - |
| WHITE <br> 98.43\% Participation Rate | 72.48 | 76.40 | K |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED <br> 98.89\% Participation Rate | 59.64 | 63.05 | $\zeta$ |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS <br> Too Few Students Participation Rate | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |  |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY <br> 97.75\% Participation Rate | 29.27 | 37.23 | $\zeta$ |

## LEGEND

* Subgroup met 6\% improvement target*
- Subgroup met improvement target
- Subgroup made progress, but did not meet improvement target
- Subgroup did not make progress and did not meet improvement target

[^0]Progress measures how much growth students demonstrate in English language arts and mathematics and how well English learners are progressing towards English language proficiency.The English language arts and mathematics indicators utilize Student Growth Percentiles (SGPs) to measure how much growth students demonstrated relative to academically-similar students. English Language Proficiency measures whether students are improving within a performance band or moving up to another performance band, thus moving towards English language proficiency.

HOW DID THE DISTRICT PERFORM ON PROGRESS?

HOW DID THE DISTRICT PERFORM COMPARED TO THE STATE?

HOW DID THE DISTRICT PERFORM ON EACH INDICATOR?

DISTRICT SCORE
STATE SCORE

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS
MATHEMATICS
PROGRESS TOWARDS ENGLISH LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY
80.93
86.61

Too Few Students

HOW DID STUDENT GROUPS IN THE DISTRICT PERFORM?
PROGRESS LEVELS - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

|  |  | SGP Levels |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{1 - 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 - 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 - 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 - 9 9}$ |
| ALL STUDENTS | $\mathbf{3 0 . 2 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 2 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 9 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 5 6 \%}$ |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / <br> ALASKAN NATIVE | Too Few Students | Too Few Students | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC <br> ISLANDER | Too Few Students | Too Few Students | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |
| BLACK | $\mathbf{2 7 . 4 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 9 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 6 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 9 8 \%}$ |
| HISPANIC | $\mathbf{3 8 . 7 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 2 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 4 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 5 3 \%}$ |
| MULTI-RACIAL | $\mathbf{5 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 7 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 7 5 \%}$ |
| WHITE | $\mathbf{3 0 . 2 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 9 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 4 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 4 6 \%}$ |
| ECONOMICALLY <br> DISADVANTAGED | $\mathbf{3 0 . 2 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 2 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 9 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 5 6 \%}$ |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS | Too Few Students | Too Few Students | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |
| STUDENTS WITH <br> DISABILITY | $\mathbf{2 9 . 4 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 4 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 8 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 2 9 \%}$ |

SCORES - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

|  | SCORE |
| :--- | :--- |
| ALL STUDENTS | 80.93 |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN NATIVE | Too Few <br> Students |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER | Too Few |
| Students |  |


|  |  | SGP Levels |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{1 - 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 - 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 - 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 - 9 9}$ |
| ALL STUDENTS | $\mathbf{2 4 . 7 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 3 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 7 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 1 4 \%}$ |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / <br> ALASKAN NATIVE | Too Few Students | Too Few Students | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC <br> ISLANDER | Too Few Students | Too Few Students | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |
| BLACK | $\mathbf{2 3 . 3 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 7 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 0 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 8 7 \%}$ |
| HISPANIC | $\mathbf{3 1 . 7 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 7 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 9 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 5 9 \%}$ |
| MULTI-RACIAL | $\mathbf{2 3 . 5 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 5 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 9 4 \%}$ |
| WHITE | $\mathbf{2 5 . 2 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 7 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 5 4 \%}$ |
| ECONOMICALLY | $\mathbf{2 4 . 7 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 3 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 7 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 1 4 \%}$ |
| DISADVANTAGED | Too Few Students | Too Few Students | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS | $\mathbf{1 1 . 9 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 7 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 5 7 \%}$ |  |
| STUDENTS WITH | $\mathbf{2 3 . 8 1 \%}$ |  |  |  |

## SCORES - MATHEMATICS

|  | SCORE |
| :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 86.61 |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN NATIVE | Too Few Students |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER | Too Few Students |
| BLACK | 84.20 |
| HISPANIC | 81.72 |
| MULTI-RACIAL | 100.00+ |
| WHITE | 87.69 |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 86.61 |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS | Too Few Students |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY | 84.52 |

## PROGRESS LEVELS - PROGRESS TOWARDS ENGLISH LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY

|  | ACCESS for ELLs Performance Bands |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No Positive Movement | Moved Less <br> Than One Band | Moved One Band | Moved More Than One Band |
| ALL STUDENTS | Too Few Students | Too Few Students | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN NATIVE | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| BLACK | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| HISPANIC | Too Few Students | Too Few Students | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |
| MULTI-RACIAL | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| WHITE | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | Too Few Students | Too Few Students | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS | Too Few Students | Too Few Students | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

SCORES, TARGETS, AND FLAGS - PROGRESS TOWARDS ENGLISH LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY

|  | SCORE | TARGET | FLAG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | Too Few Students | N/A |  |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN NATIVE | N/A | N/A |  |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER | N/A | N/A |  |
| BLACK | N/A | N/A |  |
| HISPANIC | Too Few Students | N/A |  |
| MULTI-RACIAL | N/A | N/A |  |
| WHITE | N/A | N/A |  |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | Too Few Students | N/A |  |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |  |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY | N/A | N/A |  |

## LEGEND

- Subgroup met improvement target
- Subgroup made progress, but did not meet improvement target
- Subgroup did not make progress and did not meet improvement target


## Closing Gaps



WHAT IS
CLOSING
GAPS?
Closing Gaps sets the expectation that all students and all student subgroups make improvements in achievement rates. This component is based on CCRPI improvement targets for academic achievement, which are represented by improvement flags, and it provides an opportunity for schools to demonstrate the progress made in improving student performance among all student subgroups.

## HOW DID THE DISTRICT <br> PERFORM ON CLOSING

## 66.1

GAPS?

## HOW DID THE DISTRICT

 PERFORM COMPARED TO THE STATE?DISTRICT SCORE
66.1

STATE SCORE

# HOW WELL DID STUDENT GROUPS IN THE DISTRICT MEET IMPROVEMENT TARGETS? 

## SUMMARY OF FLAGS

|  | English Language Arts | Mathematics | Science | Social Studies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | Z | K | K | K |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN NATIVE |  |  |  |  |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER |  |  |  |  |
| BLACK | K | K | K | < |
| HISPANIC | K | K | T | K |
| MULTI-RACIAL | $\Sigma$ | K | $\Sigma$ | $\Sigma$ |
| WHITE | $\Sigma$ | K | K | $\Sigma$ |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | $\underline{ }$ | $\underline{ }$ | $\pm$ | K |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS |  |  |  |  |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY | K | < | $\Sigma$ | < |

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

|  | SCORE | target | FLAG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 57.55 | 57.25 | - |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN NATIVE | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |  |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER | 75.66 | Too Few Students |  |
| BLACK | 42.84 | 40.60 | K |
| HISPANIC | 47.12 | 44.77 | K |
| MULTI-RACIAL | 55.56 | 64.97 | $\Sigma$ |
| WHITE | 67.82 | 67.70 | K |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 57.55 | 57.25 | K |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |  |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY | 25.78 | 33.48 | Z |


|  | SCORE | TARGET | FLAG |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ALL STUDENTS | 51.39 | 49.88 |  |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN NATIVE | Too Few <br> Students | Too Few <br> Students |  |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER | Too Few <br> Students | Too Few <br> Sudents |  |
| BLACK | 36.62 | 35.98 | < |
| HISPANIC | 47.88 | 46.77 | < |
| MULTI-RACIAL | 60.53 | 35.33 | < |
| WHITE | 60.24 | 58.34 | < |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 51.39 | 49.88 | < |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS | Too Few <br> Students | Too Few <br> Students |  |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY | 30.02 | 43.54 | $\mathbf{Z}$ |

SCIENCE

|  | SCORE | TARGET | FLAG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL Students | 54.55 | 52.48 | - |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN NATIVE | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |  |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |  |
| BLACK | 39.54 | 32.41 | K |
| HISPANIC | 58.35 | 41.39 | K |
| MULTI-RACIAL | 55.27 | 53.93 | < |
| WHITE | 63.60 | 66.21 | K |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 54.55 | 52.48 | t |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |  |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY | 30.99 | 32.85 | K |


|  | SCORE | TARGET | FLAG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 59.64 | 63.05 | K |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN NATIVE | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |  |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |  |
| BLACK | 39.15 | 40.74 | K |
| HISPANIC | 50.00 | 43.01 | K |
| MULTI-RACIAL | 60.00 | 74.32 | K |
| WHITE | 72.48 | 76.40 | K |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 59.64 | 63.05 | K |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |  |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY | 29.27 | 37.23 | K |

## LEGEND

* Subgroup met 6\% improvement target*
- Subgroup met improvement target
- Subgroup made progress, but did not meet improvement target
- Subgroup did not make progress and did not meet improvement target

[^1]Readiness measures whether students are participating in activities preparing them for and demonstrating readiness for the next level, college, or career. The indicators for elementary and middle schools include literacy, student attendance, and beyond the core. High school indicators include literacy, student attendance, accelerated enrollment, pathway completion, and college and career readiness

HOW DID THE DISTRICT PERFORM ON READINESS?

## HOW DID THE DISTRICT PERFORM COMPARED TO THE STATE?

## HOW DID STUDENT GROUPS IN THE DISTRICT PERFORM?

LITERACY 54.46\%

STUDENT ATTENDANCE $82.44 \%$
accelerated enrollment * 76.63\%
View ACCELERATED ENROLLMENT data

| Dual Enrollment | $\mathbf{6 8 . 8 5 \%}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Advanced Placement | $\mathbf{3 5 . 0 6 \%}$ |
| International Baccalaureate | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| *Scores benchmarked at the 75th percentile based <br> on 2018 state rate for all students |  |

PATHWAY COMPLETION
82.08\%
(- View PATHWAY COMPLETION data

| Advanced academic | $31.48 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| CTAE | $64.89 \%$ |
| Fine arts | $19.85 \%$ |
| World language | $18.64 \%$ |

COLLEGE AND CAREER READINESS
63.99\%
(-) View college and career readiness data
Entering TCSG / USG without needing
remediation $\quad 38.44 \%$

## HOW DID STUDENT GROUPS IN THE DISTRICT PERFORM?

LITERACY

|  | RATE |
| :--- | :--- |
| ALL STUDENTS | $54.46 \%$ |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN NATIVE | Too Few <br> Students |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER | $\mathbf{7 3 . 3 3 \%}$ |
| BLACK | $40.60 \%$ |
| HISPANIC | $34.62 \%$ |
| MULTI-RACIAL | $50.00 \%$ |
| WHITE | $65.39 \%$ |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | $54.46 \%$ |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS | Too Few <br> Students |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY | $\mathbf{1 5 . 3 8 \%}$ |

STUDENT ATTENDANCE

|  | RATE |
| :--- | :--- |
| ALL STUDENTS | $82.44 \%$ |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN NATIVE | To Few <br> Students |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER | $90.00 \%$ |
| BLACK | $86.39 \%$ |
| HISPANIC | $87.16 \%$ |
| MULTI-RACIAL | $85.71 \%$ |
| WHITE | $\mathbf{7 9 . 4 2 \%}$ |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | $82.44 \%$ |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS | $95.65 \%$ |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY | $\mathbf{8 1 . 3 8 \%}$ |


|  | RATE |
| :--- | :--- |
| ALL STUDENTS | $\mathbf{7 6 . 6 3 \%}$ |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN NATIVE | Too Few |
| Students |  |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER | Too Few |
| SLACK | Students |
| HISPANIC | $44.75 \%$ |
| MULTI-RACIAL | $\mathbf{4 9 . 8 3 \%}$ |
| WHITE | Too Few |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | Sudents |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS | $96.24 \%$ |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY | $\mathbf{7 6 . 6 3 \%}$ |

PATHWAY COMPLETION

|  | RATE |
| :--- | :--- |
| ALL STUDENTS | $82.08 \%$ |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN NATIVE | Too Few <br> Students |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER | Too Few <br> Students |
| BLACK | $69.86 \%$ |
| HISPANIC | $52.63 \%$ |
| MULTI-RACIAL | Too Few |
| WHITE | Sudents |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | $91.60 \%$ |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS | $82.08 \%$ |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY | Too Few |


|  | RATE |
| :--- | :--- |
| ALL STUDENTS | $63.99 \%$ |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN NATIVE | Too Few |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER | Students |
| BLACK | Too Few |
| HISPANIC | $4 . d e n t s$ |
| MULTI-RACIAL | $4.14 \%$ |
| WHITE | Too Few |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | Students |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS | Ttudents |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY | $\mathbf{7 6 . 0 3 \%}$ |

## Graduation Rate



## WHAT IS GRADUATION

 RATE?
## HOW DID THE DISTRICT

 PERFORM COMPARED TO THE STATE?HOW DID THE DISTRICT
PERFORM ON 4- AND 5YEAR GRADUATION RATES?

DISTRICT SCORE
91.7

STATE SCORE 82.0

4-YEAR GRADUATION RATE
90.89

5-YEAR GRADUATION RATE 93.43

HOW DID THE DISTRICT PERFORM ON 4- AND 5-YEAR GRADUATION RATES?

4-YEAR GRADUATION RATE

|  | RATE | TARGET | FLAG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 90.89\% | 88.21\% | < |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN NATIVE | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |  |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |  |
| BLACK | 90.30\% | 86.57\% | K |
| HISPANIC | 94.74\% | 80.60\% | $\checkmark$ |
| MULTI-RACIAL | Too Few Students | Too Few Students |  |
| WHITE | 90.98\% | 89.85\% | < |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 90.89\% | 88.21\% | $\checkmark$ |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS | Too Few Students | N/A |  |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY | 80.60\% | 63.63\% | K |

5-YEAR GRADUATION RATE

|  | RATE | TARGET | FLAG |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ALL STUDENTS | $93.43 \%$ | $90.00 \%$ |  |
| AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN NATIVE | Too Few <br> Students | N/A |  |
| ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER | Too Few | Too Few |  |
| BLACK | Students | Students |  |
| HISPANIC | $93.94 \%$ | $88.72 \%$ |  |
| MULTI-RACIAL | $93.33 \%$ | $90.00 \%$ | 人 |
| WHITE | Too Few | Too Few |  |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | $92.89 \%$ | $90.00 \%$ |  |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS | $93.43 \%$ | $90.00 \%$ |  |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY | N/A | N/A |  |

LEGEND

- Subgroup met improvement target
$\square$
Subgroup made progress, but did not meet improvement target
Subgroup did not make progress and did not meet improvement target


## Financial Efficiency

## - <br> WHAT IS <br> FINANCIAL EFFICIENCY?

The Financial Efficiency Star Rating provides a comparison of perstudent spending and overall student performance. A five-star rating represents strong student outcomes with lower levels of expenditures (proportionate to district size) in comparison with other districts. Click here to learn more about Financial Efficiency

FINANCIAL EFFICIENCY STAR
RATING

PPE PERCENTILE

45th

3-YEAR AVERAGE PER PUPIL
EXPENDITURE

3-YEAR AVERAGE CCRPI 69.3

HOW DID DISTRICT
SPENDING PER PUPIL
COMPARE TO THE STATE?

DISTRICT 3-YEAR AVERAGE PER PUPIL EXPENDITURE
\$8,886.97
STATE 3-YEAR AVERAGE PER PUPIL EXPENDITURE
\$9,085.97
WHAT IS THE PER PUPIL
EXPENDITURE
DISAGGREGATION
BETWEEN FEDERAL AND
STATE/LOCAL FUNDS?

|  | CCRPI | Federal <br> PPE (\$) | State/Local <br> PPE (\$) | Total PPE <br> $(\$)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FY2016 | 70.0 | $\$ 703.59$ | $\$ 7,615.38$ | $\$ 8,318.97$ |
| FY2017 | 72.2 | $\$ 698.37$ | $\$ 8,272.31$ | $\$ 8,970.68$ |
| FY2018 | 65.8 | $\$ 560.67$ | $\$ 8,810.59$ | $\$ 9,371.26$ |

## THE FOLLOWING

RESPONSE TO THE FINANCIAL EFFICIENCY
STAR RATING WAS
PROVIDED BY THE SCHOOL DISTRICT. ITS CONTENT IS ONLY IN REFERENCE TO THE PER PUPIL EXPENDITURES REPORTED FOR THE DISTRICT AND DOES NOT INCLUDE ANY REFERENCE TO THE DISTRICT'S CCRPI SCORE OR ITS RESPECTIVE COMPONENTS

The Thomas County School System operates Hand-In-Hand Primary School, a two grade Pre-K and Kindergarten school. Within the last three years, Hand-in-Hand's Pre-K program has been named by Bright from the Start: Georgia Department of Early Care and Learning as being Quality Rated and Quality Rated for Inclusion at the highest level, along with being named Georgia's Pre-K Program of the Year for 2015-2016 by the Georgia Association for Young Children. Local costs for the Pre-K program exceed expenses funded by DECAL, adding an estimated $\$ 115.00$ to the district's per-pupil expenditure given that Pre-K students are not included in the enrollment count for the Financial Efficiency Star Rating. The district also provides Social Security benefits for all employees, placing the district at a disadvantage when ranked against systems that do not provide this benefit for all employees. Grant awards and private donations that were made for specific programs have also increased the per-pupil expenditures and negatively impacted the efficiency rating. Please see our website (http://www.thomas.k12.ga.us) for additional information about how the Thomas County School System wisely invests in the future of its community.


[^0]:    *This flag is only available for Economically Disadvantaged, English Learners, and Students with Disability subgroups.

[^1]:    *This flag is only available for Economically Disadvantaged, English Learners, and Students with Disability subgroups.

